

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,245	KAHL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas Champagne.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2006

**Time:** 3 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

67-81

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called Mr. Champagne to suggest cancellation of claims 67-81, since the recent amendments necessitate restriction of these claims from those presented earlier. Mr. Champagne was not able to authorize such amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)